

POLS 321 THEORIES OF INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS
FALL 2019
(10 am-1pm at IB 301)

Instructor: Assist. Prof. Dr. Aslı Çalkivik
Email: acalkivik@itu.edu.tr
Office Hours: TBA

Teaching Assistant: Muhterem Özdiñer
Email: m.12ozdincer@gmail.com

Introduction

This is an advanced level undergraduate course designed to introduce students with the theories of international relations (IR) as defined within the disciplinary studies of international politics. The central aim is to provide a comprehensive and in-depth overview of major scholarly traditions as well as the major debates in IR Theory. Through this venture, it seeks to familiarize students with debates over the key concepts and themes (i.e., sovereignty, violence, war, power, security, equality, law, justice among others). The course explores the ontological, epistemological, methodological problematics in theorizing IR and probes the ongoing debates on International Political Theory in the 21st century.

Aims

This course aims to:

- to introduce students to different perspectives or intellectual frameworks for making sense of what conventionally has been called international relations (though many prefer terms like international, transnational, global, or world politics) and to cultivate skills in applying perspectives in aid of understanding events, processes, and/or practice
- to examine the basic assumptions, conceptual and theoretical insights offered by theories of IR, relating these both to contemporary events and historical processes, and assess their contributions as well as their shortcomings
- Enable students to develop a critical understanding of the ways in which the subject/object of the discipline of IR—the international—is constructed as a field of study
- Probe the theory/practice relation and grapple with the disciplinary, political stakes in theorizing “the international”
- in sum, the lessons learned from the class will be (a) sociological/theoretical, in that we will better understand how the world works; (b) meta-theoretical, in that we will reflect a bit on how we study the world; and (c) practical, in that we will think about how we are to live in the world as it is and might be.

Objectives/Outcomes

The objectives and outcomes of this course are:

- Learn how to think and write critically about key debates in contemporary IR theory
- Explain different frameworks for thinking about international politics

- Discuss a range of ideas, concepts and texts in international political theory and the historical contexts in which they arose
- Evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of alternative ways of explaining, understanding and judging contemporary international politics.

Course Structure

The course is composed of lectures and class discussions. The lectures are designed to provide a broad overview of that week's topic, offer contesting views on the topic and contextualize it within wider debates. The discussions aim to encourage students to do textual exegesis, think critically and independently on the conceptual and theoretical questions while remaining firmly grounded in the assigned readings.

A good and fruitful class discussion depends on serious preparation by students. It is critical that students come to class having assigned texts carefully, reflected on them and ready to take active part in class discussions. This is important not only for your intellectual development, but also because it will constitute one fifth of your overall grade.

Course Requirements

Grading

- Exams 1 and 2 50% (25% each)
- Critical Essay 1 and 2 30% (15% each)

Each student will write two 3-4 page critical essays (12 font, typed, double-spaced, proof-read) on a general theoretical question, problem or issue based on the course material and write an essay directly addressing the question in the light of the assigned literature making an which defends or supports a thesis about some text(s), author(s), or school(s) of thought. What is meant by each of these terms (an *argument*, a *thesis*, a *critical* essay) will be discussed during the first session of the course.

Students are not expected to do additional research and reading beyond the course material. Essays will be evaluated according to the depth of insight, the clarity and care of argument, and the quality of writing. The essays will be submitted electronically before the class. No late papers are accepted.

- Class Participation 20 %

Class attendance and active participation in classroom discussions are required. Students who miss more than three classes without a valid excuse that is documented to the satisfaction of the instructor will automatically fail the course. Engaged attention and active participation will both be weighed in your favor, with special emphasis on the *quality* of student participation. It is important to be engaged on a daily basis, but comments should be to the point. Weight is given to comments that extend discussions by pointing to deeper insights or integrating earlier comments. Or, importantly, that launch new lines of discussion when the previous one has become exhausted.

Important Dates

Critical Essay 1	WEEK 4	October 11
Exam 1	WEEK 7	November 1
Critical Essay 2	WEEK 11	November 29
Exam 2	WEEK 13	December 13

Readings

There are two main textual resources we will use for the course. The first one is a textbook which provides a broad overview of different theoretical approaches in IR. We will use the following textbook:

Griffiths, M (Ed.) (2007) *International Relations Theory for the Twenty-First Century*. London and New York: Routledge. (From here on IRT)

The second source will be journal articles and excerpts from books, which will allow us to deepen our discussions as we grapple with prominent theories of IR.

Course Schedule

Week 1: Introduction and Overview

Griffiths, M (2007) "Chapter 1: Worldviews and IR Theory: Conquest or Coexistence?" in IRT

- Further Reading:

Bell, D (2009) "Writing the World: Disciplinary History and Beyond" *International Affairs*, 85(1): 3-22.

Bell, D. (Ed.) (2007). *Victorian Visions of Global Order*. Cambridge: CUP.

Brown, C (2016) "Review Article: International Political Theory Today" *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 45(2)

Brown, C (2000) "International Political Theory: a British Social Science?" *British Journal of Politics and International Relations*, 2(1).

Hobson, J (2012) *The Eurocentric Conception of World Politics*. Cambridge: CUP.
Special Forum on Hobson's book in *Millennium* 42(2) (2014).

Hoffman, S (1987) "An American Social Science: International Relations" *Daedalus* 106(3): 41-60.

Jackson, PT (2010) *The Conduct of Inquiry in International Relations: Philosophy of Science and Its Implications for the Study of World Politics*. New York: Routledge.

Schmidt, B (1998) *The Political Discourse of Anarchy: A Disciplinary History of International Relations*. New York: SUNY Press.

Shilliam, R (2011) "The Perilious but the Unavoidable Terrain of the Non-West" in Shilliam, R (Ed.) *IR and Non-Western Thought*. London: Routledge.

Special Issues: Dealing with Difference: Problems and Possibilities for Dialogue in IR" *Millennium*:

- Journal of International Studies* 39 (3).
- Tickner, A (2013) "Core, periphery and (neo)imperialist International Relations" *European Journal of International Relations*, 19(3).
- Tickner, A and Blaney, D (Eds.) (2012) *Thinking IR Differently*. London: Routledge.
- Vitalis, R (2005) "Birth of a Discipline" in Lon and Schmidt (Eds.) *Imperialism and Internationalism in the Discipline of IR*. New York: SUNY Press.
- Waever, O (1998) "The sociology of a not so international discipline" *International Organization*

Week 2: Doing Theory, Theorizing the International

- Wight, M (1960) "Why is there no international theory?" *International Relations*, 2(1)
- Cox, R (1981) "Social Forces, States and World Orders: Beyond International Relations Theory" *Millennium: Journal of International Studies* 10(2)
- Walker, RBJ (1993) "International Relations as Political Theory" in Walker, RBJ *Inside/Outside: International Relations as Political Theory*, Cambridge: CUP.
- Rosenberg, J (2017) "International Relations in the Prison of Social Science" *International Relations* 30(2): 127-153.

- Further Reading:

- Armitage, D (2013) *Foundations of Modern International Thought*. Cambridge: CUP.
- Behr, H (2010) *A History of International Political Theory: Ontologies of the International*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Bell, D. (2009) *Political Thought and International Relations. Variations on a Realist Theme*. Oxford: OUP.
- Hamati-Ataya, I (2013) "Reflectivity, Reflexivity, Reflexivism: IR's "Reflexive Turn" –and Beyond" *European Journal of International Studies* 19(4):6693694.
- Rengger, N (2000) *International Relations, Political Theory and the Problem of Order*. London, New York: Routledge.
- Special Forum "Rethinking International Relations – again", Booth, K and Kurki, M (Eds.) (2017) *International Relations* 31(1).
- Special Issue, "The End of International Relations Theory?" Dunne, T, Hansen, L, Wight, C (Eds.) (2013) *European Journal of International Relations*, Vol. 19, No. 3, 2013.

Theories of IR: Mainstream Approaches

Week 3: Realism(s) – Classical, NeoRealism/Structural Realism, NeoClassical Realism

- Elman, C (2007) "Chapter 2: Realism" in IRT

Morgenthau, H (1948) "A Realist Theory of International Politics" in *Politics Among Nations: The Struggle for Power and Peace*

Waltz, K (1979) "Reductionist and Systemic Theories" in *Theory of International Politics*. Reading, Massachusetts: Addison-Wesley PC.

Williams, MC. "The Hobbesian Theory of International Relations: Three Traditions," in Jahn, B (Ed). *Classical Theory in International Relations*. Cambridge: CUP.

- Further Reading:

Brown, C (2012) "The Practice Turn, Phronesis and Classical Realism" *Millennium* 40(3).

Carr, E.H. (1939) *The Twenty Years' Crisis 1919-1939: An Introduction to the Study of International Relations*.

Donnelly, J (2000) *Realism and International Relations*. Cambridge: CUP.

Guilhot, N (Ed.) *The Invention of International Relations Theory: Realism, the Rockefeller Foundation and the 1954 Conference on Theory*.

Krasner, S (1999) *Sovereignty: Organized Hypocrisy*.

Mearshimer, J (2004) *The Tragedy of Great Power Politics*. New York: Norton.

Reviewed in: Schmidt, B (2004) "Realism as Tragedy" *Review of International Studies*. 30(3): 427-441.

Molloy, S (2006) *The Hidden History of Realism: A Genealogy of Power Politics*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

Rose, G (1988) "Neoclassical Realism and Theories of Foreign Policy" *World Politics* 51(1): 144-172.

Williams, MC (ed.) (2007) *Realism Reconsidered*.

Williams, MC (2004) *The Realist Tradition and the Limits of International Relations*. Cambridge: CUP.

Week 4: The English School

ESSAY 1 DUE

Bellamy, A J. "Chapter 7: The English School" in IRT

Bull, H (1977) "The Concept of Order in World Politics" in *The Anarchical Society: A Study of Order in World Politics*. New York: Palgrave.

Clark, I (2009) "Towards an English School Theory of Hegemony", *EJIR* 15(2): 203-228.

- Further Reading:

Buzan, B (2004) *From International to World Society*. Cambridge: CUP.

Gong, G (1984) "China's Entry into International Society" in Bull, H, Watson, A (Eds.) *The*

Expansion of International Society. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Keene, E. (2002) *Beyond the Anarchical Society*. Cambridge: CUP.

Linklater, A and Suganami, H (2006) *The English School of IR*. Cambridge: CUP.

Suganami, H, Carr, M, Humphreys, A (Eds.) (2017) *The Anarchical Society at 40: Contemporary Challenges and Prospects*. Oxford: OUP.

Wheeler, N (2001) *Saving Strangers*. Cambridge: CUP.

Wight, M (1991) *The Three Traditions*. Cambridge: CUP.

Week 5: Liberalism(s) -- Liberalism, Neoliberal Institutionalism, Liberalism 3.0

MacMillan, J (2007) "Chapter 3: Liberal Internationalism" in IPT.

Axelrod, R and Keohane, R (1985) "Achieving Cooperation under Anarchy: Strategies and Institutions" *World Politics* 38: 226-254.

Doyle, M (1986) "Liberalism and World Politics" *American Political Science Review* 80: 1151-1170.

Ikenberry, J (2009) "Liberal Internationalism 3.0: America and the Dilemmas of Liberal World Order," *Perspectives on Politics*, 7(1): 71-87.

- Further Reading:

Doyle, M et al (Eds.) *Debating the Democratic Peace*. Cambridge: CUP.

Barkawi, T and Laffey, M (1999) "The Imperial Peace: Democracy, Force and Globalization" *European Journal of International Relations* 5(4): 403-434.

Keohane, R (1984) *After Hegemony: Cooperation and Discord in the World Political Economy*. Princeton: PUP.

Keohane, R and Nye, J (1977) *Power and Interdependence*.

Mearshimer, J (1994/5) "The False Promise of International Institutions" *International Security* 19:5-49.

Moravcsik, A (1997) "Taking Preferences Seriously: A Liberal Theory of International Politics" *International Organization* 51(4): 513-553.

(2010) Special Issue *Millennium: Journal of International Studies* 38(3).

Brown, M (1996) et al eds. *Debating Democratic Peace*. Cambridge: MIT.

Deudney, D. (2004) "Publius before Kant: Federal-Republican Security and Democratic Peace" *European Journal of International Relations* 10(3): 315-356.

Jahn, B (2010) "Liberal Institutionalism: From Ideology to Empirical Theory – Back Again" *International Theory* 1(3): 409-438. [Optional: Moravcsik and Jahn debate in *International Theory* 2(1), 2010].]

Jahn, B (2005) "Kant, Mill and the Illiberal Legacies of International affairs," *International Organization* 177-207.

Shambaugh, D (2013) *China Goes Global*.

Smith, M J (1992) "Liberalism" in Nardi, T, Mapel, D. (Eds.) *Traditions of International Ethics*.

Cambridge: CUP.

Xuetong, Y (2011) *Ancient Chinese Thought: Modern Chinese Power*. Princeton: PUP.

Week 6: Social Constructivism(s)

Phillips, AB (2007) "Chapter 6: Constructivism" in IPT.

Wendt, A (1992) "Anarchy is What States Make of It: The Social Construction of Power Politics," *International Organization* 46(2): 391-426.

Kratochwill, F "Constructing a New Orthodoxy?" *Millennium* 29(1): 73-101.

Laffey, M and Weldes, J (1997) "Beyond Belief: Ideas and Symbolic Technologies in the Study of International Relations," *European Journal of International Relations* 3(2)

- Further Reading:

(2000) Special Forum on Alexander Wendt *Review of International Studies* 26(1).

Adler, E (1997) "Seizing the Middle Ground," *European Journal of International Relations* (3): 319-364.

Onuf, N (1989) *The World of Our Making: Rules and Rule in Social Theory and International Relations*. New York, London: Routledge.

Onuf, N (2013) *Making Sense, Making Worlds: Constructivism in Social Theory and International Relations*. New York, London: Routledge

Guzzini, S and Leander, A. (Eds.) (2006) *Constructivism and IR*. New York, London: Routledge.

Fierke, K.M. (2005) *Diplomatic Interventions: Conflict and Change in a Globalizing World*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

Finnemore, M. (2003) *The Purposes of Invention*. Ithaca: Cornell UP.

Gould, HD (Ed.) (2017) *The Art of World-Making: Nicholas Greenwood Onuf and his Critics*. New York, London: Routledge.

Guzzini, S and Leander, A (Eds) (2005) *Constructivism and International Relations: Alexander Wendt and his Critics*. London: Routledge.

Kubalkova, V et al (Eds.) (2015) *International Relations in a Constructed World*. Armonk, London: M.E. Sharpe.

Neumann, I (1996) "Self and Other in International Relations" *EJIR* 2(2): 139-74.

Price, R (2007) *The Chemical Weapons Taboo*. Ithaca: Cornell UP.

Risse, T (2000) "Let's Argue" *International Organization* 54(1): 1-41.

Ruggie, J.G. (1998) *Constructing the World Polity*. New York: Routledge.

Wendt, A (1999) *Social Theory of International Politics*. Cambridge: CUP.

Weldes, J (1999) *Constructing National Interests: The United States and the Cuban Missile Crisis*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Week 7: Exam 1

Theories of IR: Critical Approaches

Week 8: Historical Materialism(s): Marxist, Gramscian, NeoGramscian Approaches

Rupert, M (2007) "Chapter 4: Marxism" in IRT

Cox, R (1996[1985]) "Realism, Positivism, and Historicism" in Cox, R and Sinclair, T (Eds.) *Approaches to World Order*. Cambridge: CUP.

Rosenberg, J (1994) "Why is there no international historical sociology?" *EJIR* 12(3): 307-340.

Davenport, A (2011) "Marxism in IR: Condemned to a Realist Fate?" *EJIR* 19(1): 27-48.

- Further Reading:

Anievas, A, Nisancioglu, K (2015) *How the West Came to Rule: The Geopolitical Origins of Capitalism*, London: Pluto Press.

Anievas, A (Ed.) *Marxism and World Politics: Contesting Global Capitalism*. London, New York: Routledge.

Callinicos, A (2009) *Imperialism and Global Political Economy*. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Gill, S (Ed.) (1993) *Gramsci, Historical Materialism and International Relations*. Cambridge: CUP.

Lawson, G, Shilliam, R (2010) "Sociology and IR: Legacies and Prospects" *Cambridge Review of International Affairs* 23(1): 69-86.

Maclean, J (1988) "Marxism and International Relations: A Strange Case of Mutual Neglect" *Millennium* 17(2): 295-319.

Rosenberg, J (1994) *The Empire of Civil Society*. London: Verso.

Rupert, M, Smith, H. (2002) *Historical Materialism and Globalisation: Essays on Continuity and Change*. London: Routledge.

Teschke, B (2003) *The Myth of 1648: Class, Geopolitics, and the Making of Modern International Relations*. London: Verso.

Wallerstein, I (1995) "The Inter-state Structure of the Modern World System" in Smith, S, Booth, K, Zalewski, M (Eds.) *International Theory: Positivism and Beyond*. Cambridge: CUP.

Week 9: Critical Theory

Linklater, A (2007) "Chapter 5: Critical Theory" in IPT

Hoffman, M (1987) "Critical Theory and the Inter-paradigm Debate" *Millennium* 16(2): 231-250.

Shapcott, R (1994) "Conversation and Coexistence: Gadamer and the Interpretation of International Society" *Millennium* 23(1): 57-83.

Brown, C (1994) "'Turtles All the Way Down': Anti-Foundationalism, Critical Theory and IR" *Millennium* 23(2): 213-236.

- Further Reading

Brincat, S, Lima, L, Nunes, J (Eds) (2011) *Critical Theory in International Relations and Security Studies: Interviews and Reflections*. London: Routledge.

Hutchings, K (1999) *International Political Theory: Rethinking Ethics in a Global Era*. London: Sage.

Linklater, A (2007) *Critical Theory and World Politics*. London: Routledge.

Linklater, A (1992) "The Question of the Next Stage in IR: A critical Theoretical Point of View" *Millennium* 21(1): 77-98.

Linklater, A (1986) "Realism, Marxism and Critical International Theory" *Review of International Studies* 12: 301-312.

Price, R, Reus-Smit, C (1998) "Dangerous Liasons? Critical International Theory and Constructivism" *EJIR* 4(3): 259-294.

Shapcott, R (2008) "Anti-cosmopolitanism, pluralism and the Cosmopolitan Harm Principle" *Review of International Studies* 34: 185-205.

Shapcott, R (2001) *Justice, Community and Dialogue in International Relations*. Cambridge: CUP.

Week 10: Poststructuralism(s)

Edkins, J (2007) Chapter 8: Poststructuralism in IPT

Campbell, D (1998) "Why Fight: Humanitarianism, Principles and Post-Structuralism" *Millennium* 27(3): 497-522.

Shapiro, M (1989) "Textualizing Global Politics" in Der Derian and Shapiro (eds.) *International/Intertextual Relations: PostModern Reading of Global Politics*

Reid, J (2004) "War, Liberalism, Modernity: The Biopolitical Provocations of Empire" *Cambridge Review of International Affairs* 17(1).

Zehfuss, M (2001) "Constructivism and Identity: A Dangerous Liaison" *EJIR* 7(3): 315-348.

- Further Reading

Ashley, R (1989) "Untying the Sovereign State" *Millennium* 17: 227-286.

Ashley, R (1986) "The Poverty of Neorealism" in Keohane, R (Ed.) *Neorealism and Its Critics* New York: Columbia UP.

Ashley, R, Walker, RBJ (1990) "Speaking the Language of Exile" *International Studies Quarterly* 34(3): 367-416.

Bartelson, J (1995) *A Genealogy of Sovereignty*. Cambridge: CUP.

Campbell, D (1992) *Writing Security: United States Foreign Policy and the Politics of Identity*.

- Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Der Derian, J (1992) *Antidiplomacy: Spies, Terror, Speed and War*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Der Derian, J. and Shapiro, M. (Eds.) (1989) *International/Intertextual Relations*, Lexington.
- Devetak, R (1995) "The Project of Modernity and International Theory" *Millennium* 24(1): 27-51.
- Dillon, M (1996) *Politics of Security: Towards a political philosophy of continental thought*. London: Routledge.
- Dillon, M, Neal, A (Eds) (2008) *Foucault on Politics, Security and War*. London: Palgrave.
- Doty, RL (1996) *Imperial Encounters*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Edkins, J (2000) *Whose Hunger? Concepts of Famine, Practices of Aid*
- Edkins, J (1999) *Poststructuralism in IR*. Boulder: Lynne Rienner.
- Edkins, J, Pin-Fat, V, Shapiro, M (2004) *Sovereign Lives Power in Global Politics*. New York: Routledge.
- Edkins, J, Zehfuss, M (2005) "Generalizing the International" *Review of International Studies* 31(3): 451-472.
- George, J (1989) *Discourses of Global Politics: A Critical (Re)Introduction to International Relations* Boulder: Lynne Rienner.
- Klein, B (1988) "After Strategy: The Search for a Post-Modern Politics of Peace" *Alternatives* 13(3): 293-318.
- Polat, N (1998) "Poststructuralism, Absence, Mimesis: Making Difference, Reproducing Sovereignty" *EJIR* 4(4): 447-477.
- Reid, J (2006) *The Biopolitics of the War on Terror: Life Struggles, Liberal Modernity and the Defense of Logistical Societies*. Manchester: Manchester UP.
- Shapiro, M (2010) *The Time of the City: Politics, Philosophy and Genre*. New York, London: Routledge.
- Walker, RBJ (1993) *Inside/Outside* Cambridge: CUP.
- Walker, RBY (2009) *After the Globe, Before the World*. New York, London: Routledge.

Week 11: Gender Studies, Feminism and Queering of IR

ESSAY 2 DUE

- Enloe, C (2007) "Chapter 9: Feminism" in IPT
- Sjoberg, L (2012) "Gender, Structure and War: What Waltz couldn't see" *International Theory* 4(1): 1-38.
- Carpenter, CR (2002) "Gender Theory in World Politics: Contributions of a Nonfeminist Standpoint?" *International Studies Review* 4(3):153–165.
- Weber, C (2016) "Queer Intellectual Curiosity as International Relations Method: Developing Queer International Relations Theoretical and Methodological Frameworks" *International Studies Quarterly* 60: 11-23.

- Further Reading

- Aganthelelou, A (2004) *The Global Political Economy of Sex*. New York: Palgrave.
- Cohn, C. (1987) "Sex and Death in the Rational World of Defense Intellectuals" *Signs* 12(4).
- Hozic, A, Jacque, T (2016) *Scandalous Economics: Gender and the Politics of Financial Crisis*. Oxford: OUP.
- Enloe, C (2004) *The Curious Feminist: Searching for Women in a New Age of Empire*. Berkeley, LA: U of California Press.
- Enloe, C (1989) *Bananas, Beaches, Bases: Making Feminist Sense of International Politics*. Berkeley, LA: U of California Press.
- Elstain, J. B. (1987) *Women and War* Brighton: Harvester Press.
- Keohane, R, Tickner, J. A. et al (1998) "Conversations between IR and Feminist Theory" *International Studies Quarterly*, 42(1): 191-210.
- Parpart, J and Zalewski, M. (eds.) (1998) *The 'Man Question' in IR* Boulder: Westview.
- Peterson, S.V. (2003) *A Critical Rewriting of Global Political Economy: Integrating Reproductive, Productive, and Virtual Economies*. London: Routledge.
- Locher, B., Prugl, E (2001) "Feminism and Constructivism: Worlds Apart or Sharing the Middle Ground?" *International Studies Quarterly* 45:111-129
- Sylvester, C (2002) *Feminist International Relations: An Unfinished Journey*. Cambridge: CUP.
- Tickner, J. A (2005) "What is your Research Program? Some Feminist Answers to International Relations Methodological Questions", *International Studies Quarterly* 49(1): 1-21.
- Tickner, JA, True, J (2018) "A Century of International Feminism: From World War 1 Women's Peace Pragmatism to the Women, Peace and Security Agenda" *International Studies Quarterly* 62(2): 221-233.
- (2013) Special Issue: Feminism in International Relations *International Studies Perspectives* 14(4)

Week 12: Postcolonial, Decolonial Approaches

- Tickner, A (2003), "Seeing IR Differently: Notes from the Third World" *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 32(2).
- Seth, S (2011) "Postcolonial Theory and the Critique of International Relations" *Millennium: Journal of International Studies* 40(1): 167-183.
- Hobson, J (2007) "Is Critical Theory Always for the White West and for Western Imperialism? Beyond Westphalian towards Post-Racist Critical IR" *Review of International Studies*, 33(S1):91 – 116.
- Barkawi, T and Laffey, M (2006) "The Postcolonial Moment in Security Studies" *Review of International Studies* 32(2):329-352.
- Sajed, A (2012) "The post always rings twice: The Algerian War, poststructuralism and the postcolonial in IR Theory" *Review of International Studies* 38: 141-163.

- Further Reading

- Beier, M *International Relations in Uncommon Places: Indigeneity, Cosmology and the Limits of International Theory*. New York: Palgrave.
- Tickner, A, Waever, O. (eds.) *International Relations Scholarship Around the World*, London: Routledge
- Grovogui, S (2006) *Beyond Eurocentrism and Anarchy: Memories of International Orders and Institutions*. Basingstoke: Palgrave.
- Jones, BR (Ed.) *Decolonizing International Relations*. London: Rowman&Littlefield.
- Ling, L.H.M. (2002) *Postcolonial International! Relations: Conquest and Desire Between Asia and the West.!* New York: Palgrave.
- Howell, A., Richter-Monpettit, M. (2019) "Racism in Foucauldian Security Studies: Biopolitics, Liberal War, and the Whitewashing of Colonial and Racial Violence" *International Political Sociology* 13(1): 2–19.
- Muppidi, H (2012) *The Colonial Signs of International Relations*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Nayak, M. and Selbun, E (2010)! *Decentering International! Relations*, London and New York: Zed Press.
- Krishna, S (1993) "The Importance of Being Ironic: A Postcolonial view on Critical International Relations Theory" *Alternatives* 18: 395-417.
- Chowdry, G and Nair, S. (Eds.) (2002) *Power, Postcolonialism and International Relations: Reading Race, Gender and Class*. New York, London: Routledge.
- Hobson, J (2004) *The Eastern Origins of Western Civilization*. Cambridge: CUP.
- Inayatuallah, N and Blaney, D (2004). *International Relations and the Problem of Difference*. New York, London: Routledge.
- Inayatuallah, N and Blaney, D (1995) "Knowing Encounters: Beyond Parochialism in IR Theory" in Lapid, Y and Kratochwil, F (Eds.) *The Return of Culture in IR Theory*. Boulder: Lynne Rienner.
- Persaud, R., Sajed, A. (Eds.) (2018) *Race, Gender, and Culture in International Relations: Postcolonial Perspectives*. New York, London: Routledge.
- Shilliam, R (Ed.) (2010) *International Relations and NonWestern Thought. Imperialism, Colonialism and Investigations of Global Modernity*. New York, London: Routledge.
- Shilliam,R (2011) "Decolonising the Grounds of Ethical Inquiry: A Dialogue between Kant, Foucault and Glissant" *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. (39 (3): 649-665.

Week 13: Exam 2

