Writing Marlowe As Writing Shakespeare:
Exploring Biographical Fictions

Rosalind Barber

Doctor of Philosophy in English Literature

University of Sussex

September 2010
Table of Contents

SUMMARY ........................................................................................................ ii
Acknowledgements ........................................................................................ iv
A note on spellings ......................................................................................... v
1. INTRODUCTION .................................................................................... 6
2. DECONSTRUCTING MARLOWE’S VIOLENCE ....................................... 10
3. RECONSTRUCTING MARLOWE THROUGH THE SONNETS ..................... 31
4. SHAKESPEARE AUTHORSHIP DOUBT IN 1593 ..................................... 54
5. ANOMALIES IN THE DATA ................................................................. 89
  5.1 Chettle’s Apology to Peele ................................................................. 91
  5.2 Allusion or Illusion? Unmasking the Upstart Crow ......................... 93
  5.3 A Suspected Metamorphosis ........................................................... 100
  5.4 Hyphenated Shake-speare ............................................................... 106
  5.5 ‘Errors’ and Inexplicable Data ....................................................... 111
  5.6 Jonson’s Ambiguities ................................................................. 119
6. PLAUSIBILITY ................................................................................... 127
7. CONCLUSION .................................................................................... 141
8. CREATIVE COMPONENT: THE MARLOWE PAPERS ............................ 151
   The Marlowe Papers: Contents ........................................................... 152
   The Marlowe Papers: Notes ................................................................. 458
APPENDIX A: MARLOWE AS SHAKESPEARE’S PROGENITOR ............... 478
APPENDIX B: DIANA PRICE’S CHART OF LITERARY PAPER TRAILS ...... 481
BIBLIOGRAPHY .......................................................................................... 483
SUMMARY

This thesis consists of two components: a 70,000-word verse novel and a 50,000-word critical component that has arisen out of the research process for that novel.

Creative Component: The Marlowe Papers

*The Marlowe Papers* is a full-length verse novel written entirely in iambic pentameter. As with verse novels such as *The Golden Gate* by Vikram Seth, or *The Emperor’s Babe* by Bernadine Evaristo, its inspiration, derivation, conventions and scope owe more to the prose novel than to the epic poem. Though there is as yet no widely-accepted definition, a verse novel may be distinguished from an epic poem where it consists, as in this case, of numerous discrete poems, each constituting a ‘chapter’ of the novel. This conception allows for considerable variations in form and tone that would not be possible in the more cohesive tradition of the epic poem. *The Marlowe Papers* is a fictional autobiography of Christopher Marlowe based on the idea that he used the pseudonym ‘William Shakespeare’ (employing the Stratford merchant as a ‘front’), having faked his own death and fled abroad to escape capital charges for atheism and heresy. The verse novel, written in dramatic scenes, traces his life from his flight on 30 May 1593, through the back-story (starting in 1586) that led to his prosecution, as we similarly track his progress on the Continent and in England until just after James I
accesses to the English throne. The poems are a mixture of longer blank verse narratives and smaller, more lyrical poems (including sonnets). Explanatory notes to the poems, and a Dramatis Personae, are included on the advice of my creative supervisor.

**Critical Component: Writing Marlowe As Writing Shakespeare**

This part of the thesis explores the relationship between early modern biographies and fiction, questioning certain ‘facts’ of Marlovian and Shakespearean biography in the light of the ‘thought experiment’ of the verse novel. Marlowe’s reputation for violence is reassessed in the light of scholarly doubt about the veracity of the inquest document, and Shakespeare’s sonnets are reinterpreted through the lens of the Marlovian theory of Shakespeare authorship. The argument is that orthodox and non-Stratfordian theories might be considered competing paradigms; simply different frameworks through which interpretation of the same data leads to different conclusions. Interdisciplinary influences include Kuhn’s philosophy of scientific discovery, post-modern narrativist history, neuroscience, psychology, and quantum physics (in the form of the ‘observer effect’). Data that is either anomalous or inexplicable under the orthodox paradigm is demonstrated to support a Marlovian reading, and the current state of the Shakespeare authorship question is assessed. Certain primary source documents were examined at the Bodleian Library, at the British Library, and at Lambeth Palace Library. Versions of Chapters 2, 3 and 4, written under supervision during this doctorate, have all been published, either as a book chapter or as a journal article, within the last year (Barber, 2009, 2010a, b).
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A note on spellings

Many rebuttals to non-Stratfordian arguments are advanced along the lines that, with regards to the man usually attributed as the author, ‘his name is on the plays’. This is *argumentum in circulo*, starting as it does with the assumption that the Stratford-born man is the author, and demonstrates that to consider the Shakespeare authorship question at all requires that we distinguish the author of the plays and poems from the Stratford-born man to whom they are usually attributed. In order to avoid wordiness or repetitive qualifiers, where a distinction is necessary I have used the convention adopted by Diana Price and others, using the spelling Shakespeare when the reference is to the author and Shakspere when the information pertains to the man born in Stratford-on-Avon. They may be one and the same man, but in order to explore the theory at all, confusion must be avoided. The vagaries of Elizabethan spelling are acknowledged and do not constitute any significant part of my argument.
The writing styles of Marlowe and Shakespeare are similar, with consistent use of styling and themes such as comedy and the supernatural. In fact, Marlowe is widely believed to have written passages of Richard III by even traditional Shakespeare believers. The Marlowe theory was so strongly held by writer Calvin Hoffman that the terms of his will funded a prize for a yearly essay writing competition on the topic. Hoffman hoped that the truth would finally be uncovered after his death. [2].